How to deliver Quality On Time

The Right Result at the Right Time

www.malotaux.nl/conferences

Niels Malotaux

N R Malotaux Consultancy

+31 655 753 604

niels@malotaux.nl

www.malotaux.nl

EvoLonger – NTPM Gdynia April 2016

Niels Malotaux



- Project and Organizational Coach
- Expert in helping optimizing project performance
- Helping projects and organizations very quickly to become
 - More effective doing the right things better
 - More efficient doing the right things better in less time Result Management
 - Predictable delivering as predicted
- Project Rescue

EvoLonger - NTPM Gdynia April 2016

Did someone prepare ?

- The top-3 stakeholders of your project (Who is waiting for it?)
- The top-3 real requirements for your project (What are they waiting for?)
- How much value improvement do the stakeholders expect (3 or 7?)
- Any deadlines (No deadlines: it will take longer)
- What you should and can have achieved in the coming 10 weeks (Will you succeed? Failure is not an option!)
- What you think you should and can do the coming week in order to achieve what you're supposed to achieve (Make sure not to plan what you shouldn't or cannot do At the end of the week everything you planned will be done)
- Any issues you expect with the above or otherwise with your work





• Delivering the Right Result at the Right Time, wasting as little time as possible (= efficiently)

Providing the customer with

- what he needs
- at the time he needs it
- to be satisfied
- to be more successful than he was without it
- **Constrained by** (win win)
 - what the customer can afford
 - what we mutually beneficially and satisfactorily can deliver
 - in a reasonable period of time



What is the Right Result ?



- Heathrow Terminal 5: "Great success !"
 - Normal people aren't interested in the technical details of a terminal
 - They only want to check-in their luggage as *easily* as possible and
 - Get their luggage back as quickly as possible in acceptable condition at their destination
 - They didn't
- One of the problems is to determine what the project (or our work in general) really is about
- What are the 'real' requirements ?
- The essence is not what but how well

Requirements with Planguage

ref Tom Gilb

Definition:

Meter:

Measurable

Attainable

- **RQ27:** Speed of Luggage Handling at Airport Specific Scale:
 - Time between <arrival of airplane> and first luggage on belt
 - <measure arrival of airplane>, <measure arrival of first luggage on belt>, calculate difference

Benchmarks (Playing Field):

- 2 min [minimum, 2014], 8 min [average, 2014], 83 min [max, 2014] Past:
- Current: < 4 min [competitor y, Jan 2015] \leftarrow <who said this?>, <Survey Dec 2014>
- Record: 57 sec [competitor x, Jan 2012]
- Wish: < 2 min $[2017Q3, new system available] \leftarrow CEO, 19 Jan 2015, < document ...>$

Time **Requirements:** Realizable **Tolerable:** < 10 min [99%, Q4] \leftarrow SLA **Tolerable:** < 15 min [100%, Q4, Heathrow T4] \leftarrow SLA < 15 min [99%, Q2], < 10 min [99%, Q3], < 5 min [99%, Q4] ← marketing Goal:



- Delivery Time is a Requirement, like all other Requirements
- How come most projects are late ???
- Apparently all other Requirements are more important than Delivery Time
- Are they really ?
- How about your current project ?

Did anyone tell you to go faster ?



- Produce more ! \rightarrow bad quality \rightarrow produce less
- Produce quality ! \rightarrow produce more

Quick delivery of a solution that doesn't work means no delivery

The problem is: it's counter-intuitive





• Starting deadline

- Last day we can start to deliver by the end deadline
- Every day we start later, we will end later



What is the cost of one day of (unnecessary) delay?

- What is the cost of the project per day ?
- Do you know how much you cost per day? Note: that's not what you get !
- If you don't know the benefit, assume 10 times the cost of the project
- Oth order estimations are good enough



- Do you know the benefit of your project?
- Do you know the penalty for delay?



The challenge

Failure is not an option

- Getting and keeping the project under control
- Never to be late
- If we are late, we failed
- No excuses
- Not stealing from our customer's (boss) purse
- The only justifiable cost is the cost of doing the right things at the right time
- The rest is waste
- Who would enjoy producing waste ?

Estimation Exercise





EvoLonger – NTPM Gdynia April 2016

Is this what you did?

EvoLonger – NTPM Gdynia April 2016



- Before test ?
- After test?

Alternative Design (how to solve the requirement)



What was the real requirement?

Assumptions, assumptions ...

Better assume that many assumptions are wrong. Check !



- Estimation, optimistic / realistic
- Interrupts
- Test, test strategy
- Defect-rate
- Design
- Requirements
- Real Requirements
- Assumptions

Human Behavior

Human Behavior

- Systems are conceived, designed, implemented, maintained, used, and tolerated (or not) by people
- People react quite predictably
- However, often differently from what we intuitively think
- Most projects
 - ignore human behavior,
 - incorrectly assume behavior,
 - or decide how people should behave (ha ha)
- To succeed in projects, we must study and adapt to real behavior rather than assumed behavior
- Even if we don't agree with that behavior





Discipline

- Control of wrong inclinations
- Even if we know how it should be done ... (if nobody is watching ...)
- Discipline is very difficult
- Romans 7:19
 - The good that I want to do, I do not ...



- → Helping each other (watching over the shoulder)
- \rightarrow Rapid success (do it 3 weeks for me...)
- → Making mistakes (provides short window of opportunity)
- → **Openness** (management must learn how to cope)

Intuition

- Makes us react on every situation
- Intuition is fed by experience
- It is free, we always carry it with us
- We cannot even turn it off
- Sometimes intuition shows us the wrong direction
- In many cases the head knows, the heart not (yet)
- Coaching is about redirecting intuition

Communication



- Traffic accident: witnesses tell their truth
- Same words, different concepts
- Human brains contain rather fuzzy concepts
- Try to explain to a colleague
- Writing it down is explaining it to paper
- If it's written it can be discussed and changed
- Vocal communication evaporates immediately
- E-mail communication evaporates in a few days

Perception

- Quick, acute, and intuitive cognition (www.M-W.com)
- What people say and what they do is not always the same
- The head knows, but the heart decides
- Hidden emotions are often the drivers of behavior
- Customers who said they wanted lots of different ice cream flavors from which to choose, still tended to buy those that were fundamentally vanilla
- So, trying to find out what the real value to the customer is, can show many paradoxes
- Better not simply believe what they say: check!

Excuses, excuses, excuses ...



- We have been thoroughly trained to make excuses
- We always downplay our failures
- It's always 'them' How about 'us' ?
- At a Fatal Day, any excuse is in vain: we failed
- Even if we "really couldn't do anything about it"
- Failure is a very hard word. That's why we are using it !
- No pain, no gain
- We never say: "You failed" Use: "We failed"
 - After all, we didn't help the person not to fail

How can we be On Time ?

EvoLonger – NTPM Gdynia April 2016

Deceptive and difficult options to be on time

Deceptive options

- Hoping for the best (fatalistic)
- Going for it (macho)
- Working Overtime (fooling ourselves and the boss)
- Moving the deadline
 - Parkinson's Law
 - Work expands to fill the time for its completion
 - Student Syndrome
 - Starting as late as possible, only when the pressure of the FatalDate is really felt
- Difficult (but sometimes necessary) option
 - Adding people
 - Beware of Brooks' Law (1975)
 - Adding people to a late project ... makes it later





Continuous elimination of waste

We don't have enough time, but we can save time without negatively affecting the Result !

- Efficiency in what (why, for whom) we do doing the right things
 - Not doing what later proves to be superfluous
- Efficiency in how we do it doing things differently
 - The product
 - Using proper and most efficient solution, instead of the solution we always used
 - The project
 - Doing the same in less time, instead of immediately doing it the way we always did
 - Continuous improvement and prevention processes
 - Constantly learning doing things better and overcoming bad tendencies
- Efficiency in when we do it right time, in the right order
- TimeBoxing much more efficient than FeatureBoxing

Do you use Project Evaluations ? Do you really learn from what happened ?

Insanity is doing the same things over and over again and hoping the outcome to be different (let alone better - Niels) Albert Einstein 1879-1955, Benjamin Franklin 1706-1790, it seems Franklin was first

Only if we change our way of working, the result may be different

- Hindsight is easy, but reactive
- Foresight is less easy, but proactive
- Reflection is for hindsight and learning
- Preflection is for foresight and prevention

Only with *prevention* we can save precious time This is used in the Deming or Plan-Do-Check-Act cycle






Requirements with Planguage

Definition:

Meter:

Measurable

- **RQ27:** Speed of Luggage Handling at Airport Specific Scale:
 - Time between <arrival of airplane> and first luggage on belt
 - <measure arrival of airplane>, <measure arrival of first luggage on belt>, calculate difference

Benchmarks (Playing Field):

- 2 min [minimum, 2014], 8 min [average, 2014], 83 min [max, 2014] Past:
- Current: < 4 min [competitor y, Jan 2015] \leftarrow <who said this?>, <Survey Dec 2014>
- Attainable Record: 57 sec [competitor x, Jan 2012]
 - Wish: < 2 min $[2017Q3, new system available] \leftarrow CEO, 19 Jan 2015, < document ...>$

Time **Requirements:** Realizable **Tolerable:** < 10 min [99%, Q4] \leftarrow SLA **Tolerable:** < 15 min [100%, Q4, Heathrow T4] \leftarrow SLA < 15 min [99%, Q2], < 10 min [99%, Q3], < 5 min [99%, Q4] \leftarrow marketing Goal:

Evolutionary Planning prevention is better than cure





To-do lists

- Are you using to-do lists?
 - List the things you have to do the coming week
 - Did you add effort estimates?
 - Did you check how much time you have available the coming week?
 - Does what you have to do fit in the available time ?
 - Did you check what you can do and what you cannot do?
 - Did you take the consequence?

• Evo:

- Because we are short of time, we better use the limited available time as best as possible
- We don't try to do better than possible
- To make sure we do the best possible, we *choose* what to do in the limited available time. We don't just let it happen randomly





- Days estimation \rightarrow lead time (calendar time)
- Hours estimation \rightarrow effort
- Effort variations and lead time variations have different causes
- Treat them differently and keep them separate
 - Effort: complexity
 - Lead Time: time-management
 - (effort / lead-time ratio)

Every week we plan

- How much time do we have available
- 2/3 of available time is net plannable time
- What is most important to do
- Estimate effort needed to do these things
- Which most important things fit in the net available time (default 26 hr per week)
- What can, and are we going to do
- What are we not going to do

2/3 is default start value this value works well in development projects

_	$(\rightarrow $		
	Task a	2	^
	Taskb	5	
	Taskc	3	
	Taskd	6	do
	Task _e	1	
	Taskf	4	-
	Taskg	5	26
	Taskh	4	
	Taskj	3	do
$\overline{)}$	Taskk	1	not
1			



Why is this important?

- Half (±30%) of what people do in projects later proves not having been necessary
- During the TaskCycle planning we can very efficiently see
 - What our colleagues think they're going to do
 - Make sure they're going to work on the most important things
 - Not on unnecessary things
 - In line with the architecture and design
 - Leading most efficiently to the goal of the delivery
- Helping each other

Earth Observation Satellite



- Very experienced Systems Engineers
- They use quantified requirements routinely
- They don't know exactly where they'll end up
- 6 year pure waterfall project (imposed by ESA)
- Only problem: They missed all deadlines
- 9 weeks later: They haven't missed any deadline since
- Recently: delivered 1 day early (instead of 1 year late)
- Savings: some 40 man-year (about €6M)
- How did they do that ?

Requirements weren't the problem

- Requirements for tropospheric O3
 - Ground-pixel size : 20 × 20 km2 (threshold); 5 × 5 km2 (target)
 - Uncertainty in column : altitude-dependent
 - Coverage:global
 - Frequency of observation : daily (threshold); multiple observations per day (target)
- Requirements for stratospheric O3
 - Ground-pixel size : 40 × 40 km2 (threshold); 20 × 20 km2 (target)
 - Uncertainty in column : altitude-dependent
 - Coverage:global
 - Frequency of observation :
 - daily (threshold); multiple observations per day (target)
- Requirements for total O3
 - Ground-pixel size : 10 × 10 km2 (threshold); 5 × 5 km2 (target)
 - Uncertainty in column : 2%
 - Coverage:global
 - Frequency of observation :

daily (threshold); multiple observations per day (target)

Awful schedule pressure !

- Meeting with sub-contractors in three weeks
- Many documents to review
- Impossible deadline
- How many documents to review ?
- How much time per document?

	per doc	hr
4 heavy	15	60
3 easy	2	6
	total	66
other wo	ork	33
	total	99

	available	2 x 26	52
--	-----------	--------	----

- Some suggestions ...
- Result: well reviewed, great meeting, everyone satisfied

Developing a new oscilloscope

- 4 teams of 10 people, 8 more people in Bangalore
- Introduced first in one team
- Other teams followed once convinced
- One team lagged because fear of 'micro-management'
- Even if we would drop all you suggested, the 1-on-1's will be kept, because so powerful:
 - We used to do something and afterwards found out it wasn't what it should be
 - Now we find out before, allowing us to do it more right the first time

Results



- Schedule accuracy for this platform development was 50% better than the program average (as measured by program schedule overrun) over the last 5 years
- This product was the fastest time-to-market with the highest quality at introduction of any platform in our group in more than 10 years
- The team also won a prestigious Team Award as part of the company's Technical Excellence recognition program

www.malotaux.nl/doc.php?id=19 chapter 4.7.1, page 70

Example

- Polish software project
 - Deadline in 6 weeks
 - 'Mission Impossible'
- After reorganizing
 - Delivered in 5 weeks to happy customer
 - No overtime !
- Magic question:
 - What do you have to deliver by the end of the week, and
 - What do you all have to do to achieve that ?
 - Many issues surface immediately !
 - To be solved before causing more problems

DeliveryCycle

- Are we delivering the right things, in the right order to the right level of detail for now
- Optimizing requirements and checking assumptions
 - 1. What will generate the optimum feedback
 - 2. We deliver only to eagerly waiting stakeholders
 - 3. Delivering the juiciest, most important stakeholder values that can be made in the least time
 - What will make Stakeholders more productive now
- Not more than 2 weeks (it can be less !)





Do you demo at the end of a Sprint ?

- Give the delivery to the stakeholders
- Keep your hands handcuffed on your back
- Keep your mouth shut
- and o-b-s-e-r-v-e what happens
- Seeing what the stakeholders actually do provides so much better feedback
- Then we can 'talk business' with the stakeholders
- Is this what you do ?
- Success criterion: "No Questions, No Issues"









Quality on Time

- Evo development gradually delivers function and performance, while eating up resources
- Not just what to deliver, but also how we are going to deliver it and whether this is the right way to deliver it
- EvoPlanning prevents a lot of bad implementations before they are implemented, saving a lot of time

Exercise

- How much time do we have available
- 2/3 of available time is net plannable time
- What is most important to do
- Estimate effort needed to do these things
- Which most important things fit in the net available time (default 26 hr per week)
- What can, and are we going to do
- What are we not going to do

2/3 is default start value this value works well in development projects

	(\rightarrow)		
	Taska	2	↑
	Taskb	5	
	Taskc	3	
	Taskd	6	do
	Taske	1	
	Taskf	4	1
	Taskg	5	26
	Taskh	4	
	Taskj	3	do
	Taskk	1	↓ not
5			

Now we are already much more efficient

- Organizing the work in very short cycles
- Making sure we are doing the right things
- Doing the right things right
- Continuously optimizing (what not to do)
- So, we already work more efficiently

but ...

• How do we make sure the whole project is done on time ?

TimeLine

How to make sure we get

the Right Results at the Right Time







Sorry Picture removed for confidentiality

Sorry Picture removed for confidentiality

Sorry Picture removed for confidentiality



		for the project				to report		
Line	Activity	Estim	Spent	Still to spend	Ratio real/es	Calibr factor	Calibr still to	Date done
1	Activity 1	2	2	0	1.0			
2	Activity 2	5	5	1	1.2	1.0	1	30 Mar 2009
3	Activity 3	1	3	0	3.0			
4	Activity 4	2	3	2	2.5	1.0	2	1 Apr 2009
5	Activity 5	5	4	1	1.0	1.0	1	2 Apr 2009
6	Activity 6	3				1.4	4.2	9 Apr 2009
7	Activity 7	1				1.4	1.4	10 Apr 2009
8	Activity 8	3				1.4	4.2	16 Apr 2009
\downarrow	\downarrow							
16	Activity 16	4				1.4	5.6	2 Jun 2009
17	Activity 17	5				1.4	7.0	11 Jun 2009
18	Activity 18	7				1.4	9.8	25 Jun 2009



- Can you make a TimeLine of several weeks for your project?
- What's the next deadline for your project ?
- Does what you have to do fit the available time ?
- If yes, what would you do?
- If no, what would you do?

TimeLine

- The TimeLine technique doesn't solve our problems
- It helps to expose the real status early and continuously
- Instead of accepting the undesired outcome, we do something about it
- The earlier we know, the more we can do about it
- We start saving time from the very beginning
- We can save a lot of time in any project, while producing a better outcome



If, and only if, we are serious about time !
www.malotaux.nl/booklets

1 Evolutionary Project Management Methods (2001) Issues to solve, and first experience with the Evo Planning approach

- 2 How Quality is Assured by Evolutionary Methods (2004) After a lot more experience: rather mature Evo Planning process
- 3 Optimizing the Contribution of Testing to Project Success (2005) How Testing fits in
- **3a Optimizing Quality Assurance for Better Results (2005)** Same as Booklet 3, but for non-software projects
- 4 **Controlling Project Risk by Design (2006)** How the Evo approach solves Risk by Design (by process)
- 5 TimeLine: How to Get and Keep Control over Longer Periods of Time (2007) Replaced by Booklet 7, except for the step-by-step TimeLine procedure
- 6 Human Behavior in Projects (APCOSE 2008) Human Behavioral aspects of Projects
- 7 How to Achieve the Most Important Requirement (2008) Planning of longer periods of time, what to do if you don't have enough time
- 8 Help ! We have a QA Problem ! (2009) Use of TimeLine technique: How we solved a 6 month backlog in 9 weeks
- RS Measurable Value with Agile (Ryan Shriver 2009) Use of Evo Requirements and Prioritizing principles

www.malotaux.nl/inspections

Inspection pages

How to deliver Quality On Time

The Right Result at the Right Time

www.malotaux.nl/conferences

Niels Malotaux

N R Malotaux Consultancy

+31 655 753 604

niels@malotaux.nl

www.malotaux.nl

Some extra

(we won't have time for)



Active Synchronization

Somewhere around you, there is the bad world. If you are waiting for a result outside your control, there are three possible cases:

- 1. You are sure they'll deliver Quality On Time
- 2. You are not sure
- 3. You are sure they'll not deliver Quality On Time
- If you are not sure (case 2), better assume case 3
- From other Evo projects you should expect case 1
- Evo suppliers behave like case 1

In cases 2 and 3: Actively Synchronize: Go there !

- 1. Showing up increases your priority
- 2. You can resolve issues which otherwise would delay delivery
- 3. If they are really late, you'll know much earlier



• In case of interrupt, use the interrupt procedure

Interrupt Procedure "We shall work only on planned Tasks"

In case a new task suddenly appears in the middle of a Task Cycle (we call this an Interrupt) we follow this procedure:

- 1. Define the expected Results of the new Task properly
- 2. Estimate the time needed to perform the new Task, to the level of detail really needed
- 3. Go to your task planning tool (many projects use the ETA tool)
- 4. Decide which of the planned Tasks is/are going to be sacrificed (up to the number of hours needed for the new Task)
- Weigh the priorities of the new Task against the Task(s) to be sacrificed
- 6. Decide which is more important
- 7. If the new Task is more important: replan accordingly
- 8. I the new Task is not more important, then do not replan and do not work on the new Task. Of course the new Task may be added to the Candidate Task List
- 9. Now we are still working on planned Tasks.



80



TimeLine example





Help ! We have a QA problem !

- Large stockpile of modules to test (hardware, firmware, software)
- You shall do Full Regression Tests
- Full Regression Tests take about 15 days each
- Too few testers ("Should we hire more testers ?")
- Senior Tester paralyzed
- Can we do something about this?





In stead of complaining about a problem ...

(Stuck in the Check-phase)

Let's do something about it !

(Moving to the Act-phase)

Objectifying and quantifying the problem is a first step to the solution



Line	Activity	Estim	Alter	Junior	Devel	Customer	Will be done
			native	tester	opers		(now=22Feb)
1	Package 1	17	2	17	4	HT	
2	Package 2	8	5		10	Chrt	
3	Package 3	14	7	5	4	ВМС	
4	Package 4 (wait for feedback)	11				McC?	
5	Package 5	9	3		5	Ast	
6	Package 6	17	3	10	10	?	
7	Package 7	4	1		3	Cli	
8	Package 8.1	1	1			Sev	
9	Package 8.2	1	1			?	
10	Package 8.3	1	1			Chrt	24 Feb
11	Package 8.4	1	1			Chrt	
12	Package 8.5	1.1	1.1			Yet	28 Feb
13	Package 8.6	3	3			Yet	24 Mar
14	Package 8.7	0.1	0.1			Cli	After 8.5 OK
15	Package 8.8	18	18			Ast	
	totals	106	47	32	36		



Selecting the priority order of customers to be served

- "We'll have a solution at that date ... Will you be ready for it ?" An other customer could be more eagerly waiting
- Most promising customers

Result

- Tester empowered
- Done in 9 weeks
- So called "Full Regression Testing" was redesigned
- Customers systematically happy and amazed
- Kept up with development ever since
- Increased revenue
- **Recently:**
- Tester promoted to product manager
- Still coaching successors how to plan