How Systems Engineers learnt to meet all deadlines

Niels Malotaux

N R Malotaux Consultancy

+31 655 753 604

niels@malotaux.nl

www.malotaux.nl

Space Engineers – NTPM Gdansk April 2017

Niels Malotaux



- Independent Project and Organizational Coach
- Expert in helping optimizing performance
- Helping projects and organizations very quickly to become
 - More effective doing the right things better
 - esult Managemer • More efficient – doing the right things better in less time
 - Predictable delivering as predicted
- Getting projects on track
- (Embedded systems architect)

Happy customers

• From one happy customer to another one

- We will be late and we don't want to be late
- We cannot afford to be late
- When the money is used up, there is no more







Earth observation satellite

Earth Observation Instrument



In short



- Very experienced Systems Engineers
- Using quantified requirements routinely
- 8 year pure waterfall project (imposed by ESA process)
- Don't know exactly where they'll end up
- One problem: They missed all deadlines (can you help us)
- 9 weeks later: They haven't missed any deadline since
- Recently: delivered 1 day early (instead of expected 1 year late)
- Savings: at least 40 man-year (about €6M)
- How did they do that ?



Issues

- Many interdependent Deadlines
- Many unforeseen issues, resulting in significant changes
- Delay declared unacceptable by customer launch date fixed
- Team overstressed, no clear focus on tasks at hand
- Everything 80% complete, nothing 100%



No 'point' requirements

- Requirements for tropospheric O3
 - Ground-pixel size : 20 × 20 km2 (threshold); 5 × 5 km2 (target)
 - Uncertainty in column : altitude-dependent
 - Coverage : global
 - Frequency of observation : daily (threshold); multiple observations per day (target)
- Requirements for stratospheric O3
 - Ground-pixel size : 40 × 40 km2 (threshold); 20 × 20 km2 (target)
 - Uncertainty in column : altitude-dependent
 - Coverage:global
 - Frequency of observation : daily (threshold); multiple observations per day (target)
- Requirements for total O3
 - Ground-pixel size : 10 × 10 km2 (threshold); 5 × 5 km2 (target)
 - Uncertainty in column : 2%
 - Coverage:global
 - Frequency of observation : daily (threshold); multiple observations per day (target)





Weekly TaskCycle

- Are we doing the right things, in the right order, to the right level of detail for now
- Optimizing estimation, planning and tracking abilities to better predict the future
- Select highest priority tasks, never do any lower priority tasks, never do undefined tasks
- There are only about 26 plannable hours in a week (2/3)
- In the remaining time: do whatever else you have to do
- Tasks are always done, 100% done



Weekly planning

- Individual preparation
 - Conclude current tasks
 - What to do next
 - Estimations
 - How much time available
- Modulation with / coaching by Project Management (1-on-1)
 - Status (all tasks done, completely done, not to think about it any more ?)
 - Priority check (are these really the most important things ?)
 - Feasibility (will it be done by the end of the week ?)
 - Commitment and decision
- Synchronization with group (team meeting)
 - Formal confirmation (this is what we plan to do)
 - Concurrency (do we have to synchronize ?)
 - Learning
 - Helping
 - Socializing

Weekly Plan

- How much time do we have available
- 2/3 of available time is net plannable time
- What is most important to do
- Estimate effort needed to do these things
- Which most important things fit in the net available time (default 26 hr per week)
- What can, and are we going to do
- What are we not going to do

2/3 is default start value this value works well in development projects

| | ∂ | | |
|------------|------------------------|----|-----|
| | Taska | 2/ | |
| | Taskb | 5 | |
| | Taskc | 3 | |
| | Taskd | 6 | do |
| | Taske | 1 | |
| | Taskf | 4 | |
| | Taskg | 5 | 26 |
| | Taskh | 4 | |
| | Taski | 3 | do |
| \bigcirc | , Task _k | 1 | not |
| 5 | | | |

Why is this important?

- Half (±30%) of what people do in projects later proves not having been necessary → use Retrospectives Prespectives
- During the TaskCycle planning we can very efficiently see
 - What our colleagues think they're going to do
 - Make sure they're going to work on the most important things
 - Not on unnecessary things
 - In line with the architecture and design
 - Leading most efficiently to the goal of the delivery
- Everyone in the project-team knows what the others will do
- We see any issues before they become a problem

DeliveryCycle

getting

- Are we delivering the right things, in the right order to the right level of detail for now
- Optimizing requirements and solutions and checking assumptions
 - 1. What will generate the optimum feedback How can we organize optimum feedback
 - 2. We deliver only to eagerly waiting stakeholders We give feedback immediately or max within a week
 - Delivering the juiciest, most important stakeholder values that can be made in the least time We check that we get results in the right order
 - What will make Stakeholders more productive now
- Not more than 2 weeks



Formal Reviews with suppliers

- Formal Reviews at the end of a project phase
 - What is OK
 - What is not yet OK
 - Everything is OK
 - We're not perfect: OK within 5 days (we: they and us together)
 - Is this how we work ?





Even more important: Starting Deadlines

Starting deadline

- Last day to start to make the finish deadline
- Every day we start later, we will end later







First needed to convince the Project Manager

- We've been doing this kind of projects for 27 years
- We're very good at it
- What do you think you can contribute to that ?
- Do you have to deliver anything by the end of the week?
- A status report
- How much time do you need ?
- How much time do you have ?
- Does it fit ?
- What are we going to do about it ?

Awful schedule pressure !

• Meeting with sub-contractors in three weeks

Review

Review

- Many documents to review
- Impossible deadline
- How many documents to review ?
- How much time per document ?
- Some suggestions ...
- Result: well reviewed, great meeting, everyone satisfied

| | hr | |
|----------|-------|----|
| 4 heavy | 15 | 60 |
| 3 easy | 2 | 6 |
| | total | 66 |
| other wo | 33 | |
| | total | 99 |

Review

| available | 2 x 26 | 52 |
|-----------|--------|----|
|-----------|--------|----|

Evo Process



- Detailed activities planned with one week horizon and granularity ≤ 5 hr
 - Based on a personal timeline of 10 weeks
 - Based on the list of major project milestones
- Dependencies (resources, input) identified and secured at the start of each week
- Weekly 15 min individual check with Systems Engineer
- Plenary 15 min check during Engineering Meeting

Ref Project Systems Engineer



- Planned work is 2/3 of working time
- Only do the most important you decide what that is
- Better to finish one activity on time than 5 "almost"
- Interrupt Procedure for unforeseen activities
- Only in case of unresolvable conflict, escalate

Checklist Evo: Previous week

Project Manager Systems Engineer Electrica Optical engineer engineer

- All planned Tasks OK ?
- If yes, did you really work on the most urgent things ?
- If no
 - Any unavoidable causes (e.g. illness)
 - Correct use of Interrupt Process
 - Balanced consequences of the interrupt ?
 - Clearly marked the Tasks you had to sacrifice?
 - Is your plannable time right ?
 - Did you underestimate any Tasks? What did you do about it ?
 - Did you need results from others, who didn't deliver on time ?
 - Did you use Active Synchronization ?
 - Was there any reason to escalate and did you escalate?

Checklist Evo: Coming week

- Used your personal, or team TimeLine?
- Haw many plannable hours ?
- Any issues that should be escalated?
- All Tasks urgent ?
- How did you deal with unfinished Tasks of previous week? •
- Dependencies managed ?
- Are Tasks concrete enough ?
- Should some Tasks better be split into smaller Tasks ?
- Can you estimate better if split into more detail?
- Will you succeed ?



Did it work for this project?

- 2 months needed to get the process in full swing
- All Engineering docs in PDR and CDR data packages on time

Mechanica

engineer

engineer

engineer

engineer

engineer

Electrica

engineer

engineer

engineer

engineer

engineer

- Stress level in team greatly reduced
- More supervisory work for Systems Engineer can effectively handle up to 8 people
- People not in the Evo swing tend to lag behind
- So, we need everyone to follow
- Good enough to become company standard? I say YES



Project Manager

Ref Project Systems Engineer

Launch?



• Current status

The satellite is in storage, awaiting shipment to the launch site at Plesetsk

• The launch is planned for 2017

Why is the satellite not launched yet?

- The launch is delayed caused by issues you cannot predict even with Evo:
 - The launch SW from the Ukraine, bought by ESA 5 years ago, is to be used in Russia. Incomprehensibly that's a bit more difficult than it was 5 years ago
 - By now the problems seem to have been solved and the launch is planned for March/April (current deadline: August)
- Coincidentally I just today introduced our Evo way of working to a new team member of our current project (mapping the large-scale structure of the Universe over a cosmic time covering the last 10 billion years)
- I'm curious to find out how quickly she'll really get the idea

Deceptive options

- Hoping for the best (fatalistic)
- Going for it (macho)
- Working overtime (fooling ourselves)
- Moving the deadline
 - Parkinson's Law
 - Work expands to fill the time for its completion
 - Student Syndrome
 - Starting as late as possible, only when the pressure of the FatalDate is really felt

Intuition often guides us in the wrong direction





Continuous elimination of waste

We don't have enough time, but we can save time without negatively affecting the Result !

- Efficiency in what (why, for whom) we do doing the right things
 - Not doing what later proves to be superfluous
- Efficiency in how we do it doing things differently
 - The product
 - Using proper and most efficient solution, instead of the solution we always used
 - The project
 - Doing the same in less time, instead of immediately doing it the way we always did
 - Continuous improvement and prevention processes
 - Constantly learning doing things better and overcoming bad tendencies
- Efficiency in when we do it right time, in the right order
- TimeBoxing much more efficient than FeatureBoxing

www.malotaux.nl/Booklets

- 1 Evolutionary Project Management Methods (2001) Issues to solve, and first experience with the Evo Planning approach
- 2 How Quality is Assured by Evolutionary Methods (2004) After a lot more experience: rather mature Evo Planning process
- 3 Optimizing the Contribution of Testing to Project Success (2005) How Testing fits in
- 3a Optimizing Quality Assurance for Better Results (2005) Same as Booklet 3, but for non-software projects
- 4 Controlling Project Risk by Design (2006) How the Evo approach solves Risk by Design (by process)
- 5 TimeLine: How to Get and Keep Control over Longer Periods of Time (2007) Replaced by Booklet 7, except for the step-by-step TimeLine procedure
- 6 Human Behavior in Projects (APCOSE 2008) Human Behavioral aspects of Projects
- 7 How to Achieve the Most Important Requirement (2008) Planning of longer periods of time, what to do if you don't have enough time
- 8 Help ! We have a QA Problem ! (2009) Use of TimeLine technique: How we solved a 6 month backlog in 9 weeks
- RS Measurable Value with Agile (Ryan Shriver 2009) Use of Evo Requirements and Prioritizing principles

www.malotaux.nl/Inspections

Inspection pages

More

How Systems Engineers learnt to meet all deadlines

Niels Malotaux

N R Malotaux Consultancy

+31 655 753 604

niels@malotaux.nl

www.malotaux.nl