

Help ! We have a QA Problem !



Niels Malotaux

<u>niels@malotaux.eu</u> <u>www.malotaux.eu/conferences</u> <u>www.malotaux.eu/booklets</u> - booklet#8

Niels Malotaux



- Independent Team, Project, Organizational Coach •
- Expert in helping optimizing performance •
- Helping projects and organizations very quickly to become •
 - More effective doing the right things better
 - Result Management More efficient – doing the right things better in less time •
 - Predictable delivering as predicted •
- Project rescue ۲



We have a QA problem !

- Large stockpile of modules to test (hardware, firmware, software)
- You shall do Full Regression Tests
- Full Regression Tests take about 15 days each
- Too few testers ("Should we hire more testers ?")
- Senior Tester paralyzed
- Can you help us out ?









Instead of complaining about a problem ...

(Stuck in the Check-phase)

Let's do something about it !

(Moving to the Act-phase)

Objectifying and quantifying the problem is a first step to the solution



Line	Activity	Estim	Alternative	Junior tester	Developers	Customer	Will be done ? (now=22Feb)
1	Package 1	17	2	17	4	НТ	
2	Package 2	8	5		10	Chrt	
3	Package 3	14	7	5	4	ВМС	
4	Package 4 (wait for feedback)	11				McC?	
5	Package 5	9	3		5	Ast	
6	Package 6	17	3	10	10	?	
7	Package 7	4	1		3	Cli	
8	Package 8.1	26	1			Sev	
9	Package 8.2	1	1			?	
10	Package 8.3	1	1			Chrt	
11	Package 8.4	1	1			Chrt	
12	Package 8.5	1.1	1.1			Yet	
13	Package 8.6	3	3			Yet	
14	Package 8.7	0.1	0.1			Cli	
15	Package 8.8	18	18			Ast	
	totals	106	47	32	36		

TimeLine



Selecting the priority order of customers to be served

- "We'll have a solution at that date ... Will you be ready for it ?" Another customer could be more eagerly waiting
- Most promising customers

Can we make an important customer happy the next day?

Line	Activity	Estim	Alternative	Junior tester	Developers	Customer	Will be done (now=22Feb)
1	Package 1	17	2	17	4	HT	
2	Package 2	8	5		10	Chrt	
3	Package 3	14	7	5	4	ВМС	
4	Package 4 (wait for feedback)	11				McC?	
5	Package 5	9	3		5	Ast	
6	Package 6	17	3	10	10	?	
7	Package 7	4	1		3	Cli	
8	Package 8.1	1	1			Sev	
9	Package 8.2	1	1			?	
10	Package 8.3	1	1			Chrt	
11	Package 8.4	1	1			Chrt	24 Feb
12	Раскаде 8.5	1.1	1.1			Yet	
13	Package 8.6	3	3			Yet	24 Mar
14	Package 8.7	0.1	0.1			Cli	after 8.5 OK
15	Package 8.8	18	18			Ast	
	totals	106	47	32	36		

Result



- Tester empowered
- Done in 9 weeks
- So called "Full Regression Testing" was redesigned
- Customers systematically happy and amazed
- Kept up with development ever since
- Increased revenue

Later:

- Tester promoted to product manager
- Still coaching successors how to plan

Line	Activity	Estim	Alter	Junior	Devel	Customer	Will be done
			native	tester	opers		(now=22Feb)
1	Package 1	17	2	17	4	HT	
2	Package 2	8	5		10	Chrt	
3	Package 3	14	7	5	4	BMC	
4	Package 4 (wait for feedback)	11				McC?	
5	Package 5	9	3		5	Ast	
6	Package 6	17	3	10	10	?	
7	Package 7	4	1		3	Cli	
8	Package 8.1	1	1			Sev	
9	Package 8.2	1	1			?	
10	Package 8.3	1	1			Chrt	24 Feb
11	Package 8.4	1	1			Chrt	
12	Package 8.5	1.1	1.1			Yet	28 Feb
13	Package 8.6	3	3			Yet	24 Mar
14	Package 8.7	0.1	0.1			Cli	After 8.5 OK
15	Package 8.8	18	18			Ast	
	totals	106	47	32	36		

TimeLine principles

- Cutting the work into chunks
- Estimating
- Adding up (this averages the uncertainties !)
- Usually doesn't fit in the available time
- Find strategies to solve the dilemma
- Select 'best' strategy
- Predict what will happen when
- Learn and repeat every week, keeping predictions up-to-date



TimeLine: Predicting what will be done when

21/15 = 1.4

Line	Activity	Estim	Spent	Still to	D	Ratio	Calibr	Calibr	Date
				spend		real/est	factor	still to	done
1	Activity 1	2	2	0		1.0			
2	Activity 2	5	5	1		1.2	1.0	1	30 Mar 2009
3	Activity 3	1	3	0		3.0			
4	Activity 4	2	3	2		2.5	1.0	2	1 Apr 2009
5	Activity 5	5	4	1		1.0	1.0	1	2 Apr 2009
6	Activity 6	3					1.4	4.2	9 Apr 2009
7	Activity 7	1					1.4	1.4	10 Apr 2009
8	Activity 8	3					1.4	4.2	16 Apr 2009
\downarrow	\downarrow								
16	Activity 16	4					1.4	5.6	2 Jun 2009
17	Activity 17	5					1.4	7.0	11 Jun 2009
18	Activity 18	7					1.4	9.8	25 Jun 2009

What do we do if we see we won't make it on time ?



- Value Still to Earn ←versus→ Time Still Available
- If it doesn't fit ... count backwards
- If the match is over, you cannot score a goal



Deceptive options

- Hoping for the best (fatalistic)
- Going for it (macho)
- Working Overtime (fooling ourselves)
- Moving the deadline
 - Parkinson's Law
 - Work expands to fill the time for its completion
 - Student Syndrome
 - Starting as late as possible, only when the pressure of the FatalDate is really felt





We don't have enough time, but we can save time without negatively affecting the Result !

- Efficiency in what (why, for whom) we do doing the right things
 - Not doing what later proves to be superfluous
- Efficiency in how we do it doing things differently
 - The product
 - Using proper and most efficient solution, instead of the solution we always used
 - The project
 - Doing the same in less time, instead of immediately doing it the way we always did
 - Continuous improvement and prevention processes
 - Constantly learning doing things better and overcoming bad tendencies
- Efficiency in when we do it right time, in the right order
- TimeBoxing much more efficient than FeatureBoxing

Even more important: Starting Deadlines

Starting deadline

- Last day we can start to deliver by the end deadline
- Every day we start later, we will end later





Help ! We have a QA Problem ! Problem Solved

Niels Malotaux

<u>niels@malotaux.eu</u> <u>www.malotaux.eu/conferences</u> <u>www.malotaux.eu/booklets</u> - booklet#8

www.malotaux.eu/booklets

- Evolutionary Project Management Methods (2001) 1 Issues to solve, and first experience with the Evo Planning approach How Quality is Assured by Evolutionary Methods (2004) 2 After a lot more experience: rather mature Evo Planning process Optimizing the Contribution of Testing to Project Success (2005) 3 How Testing fits in Optimizing Quality Assurance for Better Results (2005) 3a Same as Booklet 3, but for non-software projects Controlling Project Risk by Design (2006) 4 How the Evo approach solves Risk by Design (by process) TimeLine: How to Get and Keep Control over Longer Periods of Time (2007) 5 Replaced by Booklet 7, except for the step-by-step TimeLine procedure Human Behaviour in Projects (APCOSE 2008) 6 Human Behavioural aspects of Projects Evolutionary Planning, or How to Achieve the Most Important Requirement (2008) 7 Planning of longer periods of time, what to do if you don't have enough time Help! We have a QA Problem! (2009) 8 Use of TimeLine technique: How we solved a 6 month backlog in 9 weeks
- 9 Predictable Projects How to deliver the right results at the right time
- RS Measurable Value with Agile (Ryan Shriver 2009) Use of Evo Requirements and Prioritizing principles

www.malotaux.eu/insp

Inspection pages

Malotaux – Help QA

More