# How to get the message across

**Niels Malotaux** 



+31-30-228 88 68

niels@malotaux.nl

www.malotaux.nl

#### Project Coach

**Niels Malotaux** 

- Helping projects and organizations very quickly to become
  - More effective doing the right things better
  - More efficient doing the right things better in less time Result Management
  - Predictable delivering as predicted
- Getting projects back on track

### **Earth Observation Satellite**



- Very experienced Systems Engineers
- They use quantified requirements routinely
- They don't know exactly where they'll end up
- 10 year pure waterfall project (imposed by ESA)
- Only problem: They missed all deadlines
- Now: The haven't missed any deadline for a year

#### Do engineers really know?



- Heathrow Terminal 5: Great success !
  - Normal people aren't interested in the technical details of a terminal
  - They only want to check-in their luggage as *easily* as possible and
  - Get their luggage back as quickly as possible in acceptable condition at their destination
  - They didn't
- One of the problems is to determine what the project (or your work in general) really is about
- What are the 'real' requirements ?
- Clear focus towards the real requirements saves time



- Do we have documented requirements ?
- Are they SMART?

- S Specific
- M Measurable
- A Attainable
- R Realisable
- T At the right Time (some say: Traceable)





- Requirements are what the Stakeholders require but for a project ...
- Requirements are the set of stakeholder needs that the project is planning to satisfy This is what you'll get, if you let us continue



- Every project has some 30±20 Stakeholders
- Stakeholders have a stake in the project
- The concerns of Stakeholders are often contradictory
  - Apart from the Customer they don't pay
  - So they have no reason to compromise !
  - In most cases, finally, we all pay

Stakeholders are people

- Developers don't understand what users find normal
- Some Stakeholders are victims of the project
  - They have no reason for the project to succeed, on the contrary

### Victims can be a big Risk







#### No Stakeholder?

- No Stakeholder: no requirements
- No requirements: nothing to do
- No requirements: nothing to test
- If you find a requirement without a Stakeholder:
  - Either the requirement isn't a requirement
  - Or, you haven't determined the Stakeholder yet
- If you don't know the Stakeholder:
  - Who's going to pay you for your work?
  - How do you know that you are doing the right thing?
  - When are you ready?





- Delivery Time is a Requirement, like all other Requirements
- How come most projects are late ???
- Apparently all other Requirements are more important than Delivery Time

#### Fallacy of 'all' requirements

- "We're done when all requirements are implemented"
- Isn't delivery time a requirement ?
- Requirements are always contradictory
- Design is to find the optimum compromise between the conflicting requirements
- Do we really have focus on the *real* requirements?
- Did the customers define *real* requirements ?
  - Usually even less trained in defining *real* requirements than we are
- What we think we have to do should fit the available time
- Instead of letting it happen, let's decide how it will happen





#### Return on Investment (ROI)

- . Benefit of doing huge (otherwise other projects would be more rewarding)
- **Ù Cost of doing** project cost, usually minor compared with other costs
- $\tilde{U}$  Cost of doing nothing every day we start later, we finish later
- **Ù** Cost of being late lost benefit

What is the cost of one day of (unnecessary) delay?

- What is the cost of the project per day ?
- Do you know how much you cost per day? Note: that's not what you get !
- If you don't know the benefit, assume 10 times the cost of the project ?
- O<sup>th</sup> order estimations are good enough



- Do we know the benefit of our project ?
- Do we know the penalty for delay?
- How can you make decisions if you don't know ?



Quality on Time

• Delivering the Right Result at the Right Time, wasting as little time as possible (= efficiently)

#### Providing the customer with

- what he needs
- at the time he needs it
- to be satisfied
- to be more successful than he was without it
- Constrained by (win win)
  - what the customer can afford
  - what we mutually beneficially and satisfactorily can deliver
  - in a reasonable period of time



- 1600 requirements 'big design up front': just deliver
- No clear Goals
- No stopping criteria
- Customer hasn't got anything useful yet







• IT people think the're doing a great job ...



#### **Delivery Strategy Suggestions** (Requirements)

- What we deliver will be used by the appropriate users immediately, within one week not making them less efficient than before
- If a delivery isn't used immediately, we analyse and close the gap so that it will start being used (otherwise we don't get feedback)
- The proof of the pudding is when it's eaten and found tasty, by them, not by us
- The users determine success and whether they want to pay (we don't have to tell them this, but it should be our attitude)

#### Will and can you use this tomorrow in practice? **Definition: RQ27:** Speed of Luggage Handling at Airport Specific Scale: Time between <arrival of airplane> and first luggage on belt Measurable <measure arrival of airplane>, <measure arrival of first luggage on belt>, Meter: calculate difference **Benchmarks** (Playing Field): 2 min [minimum, 2012], 8 min [average, 2012], 83 min [max, 2012] Past: < 4 min [competitor y, Jan 2013] $\leftarrow$ <who said this?>, <Survey Dec 2012> Current: Attainable Record: 57 sec [competitor x, Jan 2010] Wish: < 2 min $[2014Q3, \text{ new system available}] \leftarrow CEO, 19 Jan 2013, < document ...>$ Time **Requirements:** Realizable < 10 min [99%, Q4] ← SLA Must: Must: < 15 min [100%, Q4, Heathrow T4] $\leftarrow$ SLA < 15 min [99%, Q2], < 10 min [99%, Q3], < 5 min [99%, Q4] ← marketing Goal:

# How to get the message across

**Niels Malotaux** 



+31-30-228 88 68

niels@malotaux.nl

www.malotaux.nl